PATENT NO.	PATENT DATE
4 373 527 PATENTEE	02-15-83
1	
Robert E. Fis	chell



## U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

\*Robert E. Archibald The Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory Johns Hopkins Road Laurel, MD 20707

MAILING DAT	E
FEB	1 1984

			NOTIFICATION OF APPROVAL IN-PART OF CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTIO	N
			e of Correction requested in the patent identified above has been APPROVED with the exception rs will be corrected as requested. The Certificate, so modified, will be issued on	s indicated below. The
A. X	THE	CHA	IANGES BELOW CANNOT BE INCLUDED IN THE CERTIFICATE SINCE THE REQUEST WAS FILED U and 53 are	NDER RULE 322.
1.	X		Column s $12\&37$ , lines $11/$ , $\implies$ printed in accordance with the record.	
		(a)	) The change referred to was initialed and dated by applicant before execution of the applicant before execu	olication papers.
2.	X		In column $39$ , line $23$ , the error resulted from applicant's failure to comin that the precise point of entry of the amendment was omitted.	oly with Rule 121(a),
3.		•	In column, line, the alleged error is due to applicant's failure to a Rule 121(b), wherein provision is made for use of <u>brackets</u> , instead of parentheses, to cancel for the use of <u>interlineations</u> to indicate new subject matter.	comply with subject matter and
4.			Omission of the priority data from the patent resulted from applicant's failure to fully comply in that:	with 35 U.S.C. 119,
		(a)	The priority data was omitted from the oath, or declaration.	
		(b)	The claim for priority was not included in the application papers.	
		(c)	The certified copy of the foreign application was not filed.	
5.			The assignment data is printed in the patent in accordance with PTO 76-13b, submitted by applic of the base issue fee, as follows:	ant at time of payment
6.		,	In column, line, the error arose because Rule 52(b) was not compliwords on top of certain pages were obliterated where those pages were placed in the file jacks to provide what appeared to be the proper words.	
	TH	E RE	EQUEST HAS BEEN CHANGED AS SHOWN BELOW TO COMPLY WITH THE RECORD:	
1,			Since it is not normally the practice of the Office to reprint figures of the drawings, the follow of Fig(s) as suggested by the examiner, will be included:	ing narrative description
			•	
1	Form	PTO	OL-404 (rev. 10/80) (over)	

2.	The error complained of in column, line, occurred in column, line, where the changes will be made.
3.	The assignment will be shown in accordance with the Assignment Division records which read as follows:
· 4.	The change requested in has been modified by
<b>S</b> **	
C. THE F	OLLOWING CORRECTION(S) CANNOT BE INCLUDED IN THE CERTIFICATE FOR THE REASONS GIVEN BELOW:
1.	The word, purported to be in column, line, cannot be found in the printed patent.
2.	The alleged error in column, line, is an editing change made in accordance with the style of the Invention Patent Manual.
3.	In column, line, the alleged error is in fact a change made by the examiner and considered to be in accordance with the permissible amendments enumerated in M.P.E.P. 1302.04.
4.	In the title, it is the practice to exclude words such as "Improvements in", "New", etc., from the printed patent.
5.	Comparison of the patent in column, line, with the corresponding location in the application file reveals that there is no discrepancy.
6.	The records of the Assignment Division indicate that the patent has been printed in accordance with the assignment records at the time of issuance.
7.	The numbering of the claims in the printed patent is in accordance with the renumbering of dependent claims by the examiner as described in M.P.E.P. 608.01(n).
8.	The alleged error in column , line , is a change made in an Examiner's Amendment at time of allowance. Since no error is involved and since applicant filed no objection prior to payment of the base issue fee, the requested change will not be included in the Certificate.
9.	The error complained of in column, line cannot be corrected since the Group Director reports the following:
	·
	—
D. OTHE	ER .

This decision is rendered pursuant to authority delegated by the Solicitor under authority delegated to him by the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks.

Supervisor, Certificates of Correction Branch